arXiv:0805.2648v1 [hep-th] 17 May 2008

Quantum Hamiltonian diagonalization and Equations of Motion with Berry Phase Corrections

Pierre Gosselin[1], Alain Bérard[2] and Hervé Mohrbach[2]
[1]*Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF, UFR de Mathématiques,*
Université Grenoble I, BP74, 38402 Saint Martin d'Hères, Cedex, France
[2]*Laboratoire de Physique Moléculaire et des Collisions, ICPMB-FR CNRS 2843,*
Université Paul Verlaine-Metz, 57078 Metz Cedex 3, France
[3]*Département de Physique, Université Mouloud Mammeri -BP 17, Tizi Ouzou, Algerie*

It has been recently found that the equations of motion of several semiclassical systems must take into account anomalous velocity terms arising from Berry phase contributions. Those terms are for instance responsible for the spin Hall effect in semiconductors or the gravitational birefringence of photons propagating in a static gravitational field. Intensive ongoing research on this subject seems to indicate that actually a broad class of quantum systems might have their dynamics affected by Berry phase terms. In this article we review the implication of a new diagonalization method for generic matrix valued Hamiltonians based on a formal expansion in power of $\hbar$. In this approach both the diagonal energy operator and dynamical operators which depend on Berry phase terms and thus form a noncommutative algebra, can be expanded in power series in $\hbar$. Focusing on the semiclassical approximation, we will see that a large class of quantum systems, ranging from relativistic Dirac particles in strong external fields to Bloch electrons in solids have their dynamics radically modified by Berry terms.

PACS numbers:

INTRODUCTION

Since the seminal work of Berry [1], the notion of Berry phase has found several applications in branches of quantum physics such as atomic and molecular physics, optics and gauge theories. Most studies focus on the geometric phase that a wave function acquires when a quantum mechanical system has an adiabatic evolution. Yet, the Berry phase in momentum space has recently found unexpected applications in several fields. For instance, in spintronics, such a term is responsible for a transverse dissipationless spin-current in semiconductors in the presence of electric fields [2]. In optics, it has been recently found that a monopole in momentum space causes the gravitational birefringence of photons in a static gravitational fields [3]. Both effects are two manifestations of the spin Hall effect which can be interpreted at the semiclassical level as due to the influence of Berry curvatures on the semiclassical equations of motion of spinning particles [4]. Similarly, a new set of semiclassical equations with a Berry phase correction was proposed to account for the semiclassical dynamics of electrons in magnetic Bloch bands [5][6]. In a more exotic application, intrinsic Berry phase effects in the particle dynamics of the doubly special relativity theory was recently described [7].

In the above cited examples, the semiclassical equations of motion with Berry phase corrections (anomalous velocity terms) can be derived in a representation where the Hamiltonian is diagonalized at the semiclassical order. It was indeed shown that the semiclassical diagonalization results in an effective energy operator with Berry phase corrections as well as noncommutative covariant coordinates and momentum operators. These dynamical operators being corrected by Berry terms [8], this leads directly to these new Berry effects. This is another illustration of the fact that the physical content of quantum systems is most often best revealed in the representation where the Hamiltonian is diagonal. The paradigmatic example is provided by the Foldy-Wouthuysen (FW) representation of the Dirac Hamiltonian for relativistic particles interacting with an external electromagnetic field. In this representation the positive and negative energy states are separately represented and the non-relativistic Pauli-Hamiltonian is obtained [9]. Actually even if several exact FW transformations have been found for some definite classes of potentials [10][11][12], the diagonalization of matrix valued Hamiltonian is, in general, a difficult mathematical problem requiring some approximations, essentially a perturbation expansion in weak fields. To overcome this limitation we have recently proposed a new method based on a formal expansion in powers of the Planck constant $\hbar$ [13] which is not restricted to Dirac Hamiltonians but also applicable to a large class of quantum systems. It is worth mentioning that recently a variant of the FW transformation valid for strong fields and based also on an expansion in $\hbar$ of the Dirac Hamiltonian was presented [14]. The main advantage of the diagonalization procedure of [13] is that it embraces several different physical systems ranging from Bloch electrons in solid to Dirac particles interacting with any type of external fields (for instance in refs. [3][15] electrons and photons in a static gravitational field were considered).

Typeset by REVTEX

In this paper we review the recursive diagonalization procedure of ref. [13] from which can deduce the expressions of the semiclassical energy and of the dynamical operators. We then consider, as a physical application, an electron in a magnetic Bloch band and the spin hall effect of light in a gravitational field

RECURSIVE DIAGONALIZATION OF QUANTUM HAMILTONIAN

In this section we consider a quantum mechanical system whose state space is a tensor product $L^2\left(\mathcal{R}^3\right)\otimes V$ with V some internal space. In other words, the Hamiltonian of this system can be written as a matrix $H_0\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)$ of size $\dim V$ whose elements are operators depending on a couple of canonical variables $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{R}$, the archetype example being the Dirac Hamiltonian with $V=C^4$. In [13] we found a diagonalization process for this matrix valued quantum Hamiltonian $H_0\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)$ recursively as a series expansion in powers of $\hbar$ which gives the quantum corrections to the diagonalized Hamiltonian with respect to the classical situation $\hbar=0$. For example, the first order correction in $\hbar$ corresponds to the semiclassical approximation. In this approach we derived the $\hbar$ expansion recursively in the following way. The Planck constant $\hbar$ is formally promoted to a dynamical parameter α in order to establish a differential equation connecting the two diagonalized Hamiltonians at $\hbar=\alpha$ and $\hbar=\alpha+d\alpha$. The integration of this differential equation allows then the recursive determination of the different terms in the expansion of the diagonalized Hamiltonian in powers of α. To start with, consider the diagonalization at the scale α

$$U_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)H_0\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)U_\alpha^+\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)=\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)\ \text{ if }\ \left[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right]=-i\alpha \tag{1}$$

and similarly for $\alpha+d\alpha$.

$$U_{\alpha+d\alpha}\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)H_0\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)U_{\alpha+d\alpha}^+\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)=\varepsilon_{\alpha+d\alpha}\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)\ \text{ if }\ \left[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right]=-i\left(\alpha+d\alpha\right) \tag{2}$$

Let us develop this last relation to the first order in $d\alpha$,

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha+d\alpha}\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)=U_\alpha H_0U_\alpha^++d\alpha\left(\partial_\alpha U_\alpha H_0U_\alpha^++U_\alpha H_0\partial_\alpha U_\alpha^+\right) \tag{3}$$

After rewriting the r.h.s. of this equation in terms of Berry connections $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{R_l}=iU_\alpha\nabla_{P_l}U_\alpha^+$ and $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{P_l}=-iU_\alpha\nabla_{R_l}U_\alpha^+$ we arrive at the following differential equation [13] :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\alpha}\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right) &= \left[\partial_\alpha U_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)U_\alpha^+\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right),\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)\right]\\ &\quad+\left\{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{R_l}\nabla_{R_l}\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)+\nabla_{R_l}\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{R_l}+\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{P_l}\nabla_{P_l}\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)+\nabla_{P_l}\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{P_l}\right\}\\ &\quad+\frac{i}{2}\left\{\left[\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right),\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{R_l}\right]\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{P_l}-\left[\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right),\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{P_l}\right]\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{R_l}-\left[\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right),\left[\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{R_l},\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{P_l}\right]\right]\right\}\\ &\quad+\frac{i}{2}\left\{Asym\left\{\nabla_{P_l}\nabla_{R_l}\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)\right\}-U_\alpha Asym\left\{\nabla_{P_l}\nabla_{R_l}H_0\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)\right\}U_\alpha^+\right\}\\ &\quad-\frac{i}{2}\left[X\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)-\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)X^+\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with the notation

$$X=\left(Asym\left[\nabla_{R^l}\nabla_{P_l}U_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right)\right]\right)U_\alpha^+\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right) \tag{5}$$

where the linear operation $Asym$ [8] acts on a symmetrical function in $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ in the following way :

$$Asym\left\{\frac{1}{2}A\left(\mathbf{R}\right)B\left(\mathbf{P}\right)+\frac{1}{2}B\left(\mathbf{P}\right)A\left(\mathbf{R}\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{2}\left[B\left(\mathbf{P}\right),A\left(\mathbf{R}\right)\right] \tag{6}$$

the functions $A\left(\mathbf{R}\right)$ and $B\left(\mathbf{P}\right)$ being typically monomials in $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ arising in the series expansions of the physical quantities.

As shown in [13], we can separate the energy equation Eq. (4) in a diagonal and a non diagonal part such that we are led to the following two equations

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}\varepsilon_\alpha\left(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}\right) = \mathcal{P}_+[\text{R.H.S. of Eq. 4}] \tag{7}$$

$$0 = \mathcal{P}_-[\text{R.H.S. of Eq. 4}] \tag{8}$$

These two equations are supplemented by the differential unitarity condition

$$0 = \partial_\alpha U_\alpha(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})U_\alpha^+(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})+U_\alpha(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})\partial_\alpha U_\alpha^+(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}) - \frac{i}{2}\left(X - X^+\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left[\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{R_l}, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{P_l}\right] \tag{9}$$

The three equations Eqs.(7)-(9) allow to determine recursively in powers of α the energy of the quantum system in question. Actually, the integration over α of Eq. (7) gives $\varepsilon_\alpha(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})$ at order n in α when knowing all quantities at order $n-1$. By the same token, Eqs.(8) and (9) (whose meaning is that $U_\alpha(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})$ is unitary at each order in α) involve $\partial_\alpha U_\alpha(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})$, and allow to recover $U_\alpha(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})$ at order n by integration over α. As a consequence, the diagonalization process is perfectly controlled order by order in the series expansion in α.

THE SEMICLASSICAL APPROXIMATION

In this section we consider the Hamiltonian diagonalization at the semiclassical level and the resulting equations of motion. Actually, the semiclassical approximation has recently found new important applications in particle and solid state physics. Notably, the equations of motion reveal a new contribution coming from the Berry curvature. This contribution, called the anomalous velocity, modifies profoundly the dynamics of the particles. For instance, the spin Hall effect of electrons and holes in semiconductors [2], as well as the new discovered optical Hall effect [3] [4][16][17] can be interpreted in this context. Similarly, the recent experimental discovery of the monopole in momentum can also be elegantly interpreted as the influence of the Berry curvature on the semiclassical dynamics of Bloch electrons [18][19].

The semiclassical energy

The consideration of Eq. (4) alone is sufficient to deduce the semiclassical diagonal Hamiltonian. Indeed, writing $\varepsilon_\alpha = \varepsilon_0 + \alpha\varepsilon_1$, with ε_0 the diagonalized energy at the zero order, Eq. (4) is solved by (putting $\alpha = \hbar$)

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}) &= \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}) + \hbar\left\{\tfrac{1}{2}A_0^{R_l}\nabla_{R_l}\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}) + \nabla_{R_l}\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})A_0^{R_l} + A_0^{P_l}\nabla_{P_l}\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})\right.\\ &\quad\left. + \nabla_{P_l}\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})A_0^{P_l}\right\} + \tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\mathcal{P}_+\left\{\left[\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}), \mathcal{A}_0^{R_l}\right]\mathcal{A}_0^{P_l} - \left[\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}), \mathcal{A}_0^{P_l}\right]\mathcal{A}_0^{R_l}\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we have introduced the notations $A_0^{\mathbf{R}} = \mathcal{P}_+\left[\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathbf{R}}\right]$ and $A_0^{\mathbf{P}} = \mathcal{P}_+\left[\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathbf{P}}\right]$.

This latter expression can also be written

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}) \simeq \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}) + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\mathcal{P}_+\left[\left[\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}), \mathcal{A}_0^{R_l}\right]\mathcal{A}_0^{P_l} - \left[\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}), \mathcal{A}_0^{P_l}\right]\mathcal{A}_0^{R_l}\right] + O(\hbar^2) \tag{11}$$

where we have defined the projected dynamical operators (covariant coordinates and momentum operators)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r} &= \mathbf{R} + \hbar A_0^{\mathbf{R}}\\ \mathbf{p} &= \mathbf{P} + \hbar A_0^{\mathbf{P}}\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathbf{R}} = i\left[U_0\nabla_{\mathbf{P}}U_0^+\right]$, $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathbf{P}} = -i\left[U_0\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}U_0^+\right]$,and $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R}} = \left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\nabla_{\mathbf{P}}U_0\right]U_0^+$.

The matrix $U_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})$ is the diagonalization matrix for H_0 when the operators are supposed to be commuting quantities, the diagonalized energy being $\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})$. When $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ do not commute, the matrix $U_0(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})$ does not diagonalize H_0 anymore. In order to get the corrections to the energy at the semiclassical order due to the noncommutativity of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ we have to compute $\varepsilon_1(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{R})$. From the diagonal Hamiltonian, we can now derive the equations of motion for the covariant operators.

The equations of motion

Given the Hamiltonian derived in the previous subsection, the equations of motion can now be easily derived. The evolution equations have to be considered, not for the usual position and momentum, but rather for the projected variables $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{p}$. Actually, these latter naturally appear in our diagonalization process at the $\hbar$ order. Let us remark that their components do not commute any more. Actually

$$\begin{aligned} [r_i, r_j] &= i\hbar^2 \Theta_{ij}^{rr} = i\hbar^2 \left(\nabla_{P_i} A_{R_j} - \nabla_{P_j} A_{R_i} \right) + \hbar^2 \left[A_{R_j}, A_{R_i} \right] \\ [p_i, p_j] &= i\hbar^2 \Theta_{ij}^{pp} = -i\hbar^2 \left(\nabla_{R_i} A_{P_j} - \nabla_{R_j} A_{P_i} \right) + \hbar^2 \left[A_{P_i}, A_{P_j} \right] \\ [p_i, r_j] &= -i\hbar\delta_{ij} + i\hbar^2 \Theta_{ij}^{pr} = -i\hbar\delta_{ij} - i\hbar^2 \left(\nabla_{R_i} A_{R_j} + \nabla_{P_j} A_{P_i} \right) + \hbar^2 \left[A_{P_i}, A_{R_j} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

the Θ_{ij} being the so called Berry curvatures.

Using now our Hamiltonian yields directly to general equations of motion for $\mathbf{r}$, $\mathbf{p}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{r}} &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\mathbf{r}, \varepsilon\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}\right) \right] + \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\mathbf{r}, \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathcal{P}_{+} \left[\left[\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}\right), A_{R_l} \right] A_{P_l} - \left[\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}\right), A_{P_l} \right] A_{R_l} \right] \right] \\ \dot{\mathbf{p}} &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\mathbf{p}, \varepsilon\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}\right) \right] + \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\mathbf{p}, \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathcal{P}_{+} \left[\left[\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}\right), A_{R_l} \right] A_{P_l} - \left[\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}\right), A_{P_l} \right] A_{R_l} \right] \right] \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The commutators can be computed through the previous commutation rules between $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{p}$. The last term in each equation represents a contribution of "magnetization" type and has the advantage to present this general form whatever the system initially considered. In the context of Bloch electrons in a magnetic field, it gives exactly the magnetization term revealed in [5] (see [6] and below). For spinning particles in static gravitational fields, this term gives a coupling between the spin and the intrinsic angular momentum with magneto-torsion fields [3][15].

PHYSICAL APPLICATIONS

Electron in a magnetic bloch band

This topic was first dealt with in [5] in the context of wave packets dynamics. In the context of the Hamiltonian diagonalization it was considered in [6] and [8]. The purpose is to find the semiclassical diagonal energy operator for an electron in a periodic potential facing an electromagnetic field. To apply our formalism, consider an electron in a crystal lattice perturbated by the presence of an external electromagnetic field. As is usual, we express the total magnetic field as the sum of a constant field $\mathbf{B}$ and small nonuniform part $\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R})$. The Schrödinger equation reads $(H_0 - e\phi(\mathbf{R}))\,\Psi(\mathbf{R}) = E\Psi(\mathbf{R})$ with H_0 the magnetic contribution (ϕ being the electric potential) which reads

$$H_0 = \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}}{2m} + e\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) + e\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) \right)^2 + V(\mathbf{R}), \qquad \mathbf{P} = -i\hbar\nabla \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R})$ and $\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R})$ are the vectors potential of the homogeneous and inhomogeneous magnetic field, respectively, and $V(\mathbf{R})$ the periodic potential. The large constant part $\mathbf{B}$ is chosen such that the magnetic flux through a unit cell is a rational fraction of the flux quantum h/e. The advantage of such a decomposition is that for $\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) = 0$ the magnetic translation operators $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{b}) = \exp(i\mathbf{K}.\mathbf{b})$, with $\mathbf{K}$ the generator of translation, are commuting quantities allowing to exactly diagonalize the Hamiltonian and to treat $\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R})$ as a small perturbation. The state space of the Bloch electron in the periodic zone scheme [20] is spanned by the basis vectors of plane waves $|n, \mathbf{k}\rangle = |\mathbf{k}\rangle \otimes |n\rangle$ with n corresponding to a band index and $\mathbf{k}$ vary in R^3. The state $|n\rangle$ can be seen as a canonical base vector $|n\rangle = (0...010...0...)$ (with 1 at the nth position) such that $U^{+}(\mathbf{k})\,|n\rangle = |u_n(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ with $|u_n(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ the periodic part (in space) of the magnetic Bloch waves [5][21]. In this representation $\mathbf{K}\,|n, \mathbf{k}\rangle = \mathbf{k}\,|n, \mathbf{k}\rangle$ and consequently the position operator is $\mathbf{R} = i\partial/\partial\mathbf{k}$, implying the canonical commutation relations $\left[\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{K}_j\right] = i\delta_{ij}$.

We first perform the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (15) for $\delta\mathbf{A} = 0$ by diagonalizing simultaneously H_0 and the magnetic translation operators $\mathbf{T}$. The diagonalization is performed as follows: start with an arbitrary basis of eigenvectors of $\mathbf{T}$. In this basis H_0 can be seen as a square matrix with operators entries.H_0 is diagonalized through a unitary matrix $U(\mathbf{K})$ which should depend only on $\mathbf{K}$ (since U should leave $\mathbf{K}$ invariant, i.e., $U\mathbf{K}U^{+} = \mathbf{K}$) and whose precise expression is not necessary for the derivation of the equations of motion, such that $UHU^{+} = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{K}) - e\phi(U\mathbf{R}U^{+})$, where $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{K})$ is the diagonal energy matrix made of elements $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbf{K})$ with n the band index (i.e. the diagonal representation of H_0).

Now, to add a perturbation $\delta A(\mathbf{R})$ as in ([6]), that breaks the translational symmetry, we have to replace $\mathbf{K}$ in all expressions by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{K} + e\frac{\delta A(\mathbf{R})}{\hbar} \tag{16}$$

and as the flux $\delta\mathbf{B}$ on a plaquette is not a rational multiple of the flux quantum, we cannot diagonalize simultaneously its components $\tilde{K}_i$ since they do not commute anymore. Actually

$$\hbar[\tilde{K}^i, \tilde{K}^j] = -ie\varepsilon^{ijk}\delta B_k(\mathbf{R}) \tag{17}$$

To do the semiclassical diagonalization we replace $U(\mathbf{K})$ by $U\left(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}\right)$, so that the non projected Berry connections are $\mathcal{A}_{R_i} = iU\nabla_{\tilde{K}_i}U^+$ and $\mathcal{A}_{K_l} = \nabla_{R_l}\delta A_k(\mathbf{R})\mathcal{A}_{R_k}$. From these we can define the nth intraband position and momentum operators $\mathbf{r}_n\!=\mathbf{R}\!+\!A_n$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_n \simeq \tilde{\mathbf{K}} - eA_n(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_n)\times\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_n)/\hbar + O(\hbar)$ with $A_n = P_n(U\nabla_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}}U^+)$ the projection of the Berry connection on the chosen nth Band [6]. It can be readily seen that the matrix elements of A_n can be written $A_n\left(\mathbf{k}\right) = i\left\langle u_n\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right|\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\left|u_n\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right\rangle$ (see also ref. [21]for the derivation of the position operator in the diagonal representation). What is totally new here is the transformation on the momentum operator $\tilde{k}_n$ which get also a Berry connection correction.

Using our general results of section II, the full Hamiltonian Eq. (15) can thus be diagonalized through the transformation $U(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}) + \frac{i}{4\hbar}\left[\mathcal{A}_{R_l}, \mathcal{A}_{P^l}\right]U(\tilde{\mathbf{K}})$ plus a projection on the chosen n-th Band as it is usual in solid state physics (the so called one band approximation) and we obtain the energy operator of the $n-$th band as

$$\begin{aligned} P_n\left[U\left(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}\right)HU^+\left(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}\right)\right] &= P_n\Big[\mathcal{E}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}\right) - \frac{i}{4}\left[\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{K}), U\nabla_{K_i}U^+\right]\varepsilon^{ijk}\frac{\delta B^k(\mathbf{r})}{\hbar}U\nabla_{K_j}U^+ \\ &\quad -\frac{i}{4}U\nabla_{K_j}U^+\left[\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{K}), U\nabla_{K_i}U^+\right]\varepsilon^{ijk}\frac{\delta B^k(\mathbf{r})}{\hbar}\Big] \\ &= \mathcal{E}_n\left(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_n\right) - \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}).\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_n) + O(\hbar^2) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the energy levels $\mathcal{E}_n\left(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_n\right)$ are the same as $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbf{K})$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_n$ replacing $\mathbf{K}$. The magnetization $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}) = P_n(\frac{ie}{2\hbar}\left[\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}), \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}})\right]\times\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}))$ can be written under the usual form [21] in the $(\mathbf{k}, n)$ representation

$$\mathcal{M}^i_{nn} = \frac{ie}{2\hbar}\varepsilon^{ijk}\sum_{n'\neq n}(\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_{n'})(\mathcal{A}_j)_{nn'}(\mathcal{A}_k)_{n'n} \tag{19}$$

We mention that this magnetization (the orbital magnetic moment of Bloch electrons), has been obtained previously in the context of electron wave packets dynamics [5].

From the expression of the energy Eq. (18) we can deduce the equations of motion (with the band index n now omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{r}} &= \partial E(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})/\hbar\partial\tilde{\mathbf{k}} - \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}\times\Theta(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) \\ \hbar\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} &= -e\mathbf{E} - e\dot{\mathbf{r}}\times\delta\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{M}\partial\delta\mathbf{B}/\partial\mathbf{r} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $\left[r^i, r^j\right] = i\Theta^{ij}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$ with $\Theta^{ij}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) = \partial^i\mathcal{A}^j(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) - \partial^j\mathcal{A}^i(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$ the Berry curvature. As explained in [6] these equations are the same as the one derived in [5] from a completely different formalism.

Photon in a static gravitational field.

We now apply our general approach to the case of a photon propagating in an arbitrary static gravitational field, where $g_{0i} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, so that $ds^2 = g_{00}(dx^0)^2 - g_{ij}dx^i dx^j = 0$. As explained in [3] the photon description is obtained by considering first a Dirac massless particle (massless neutrino) and then by replacing the Pauli matrices σ by the spin-1 matrices $\mathbf{S}$. Therefore we start with the Dirac Hamiltonian in static gravitational field which can be written

$$\hat{H} = \sqrt{g_{00}}\alpha.\tilde{\mathbf{P}} + \frac{\hbar}{4}\varepsilon_{\varrho\beta\gamma}\Gamma_0^{\varrho\beta}\sigma^\gamma + i\frac{\hbar}{4}\Gamma_0^{0\beta}\alpha_\beta \tag{21}$$

with $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ given by $\tilde{P}_\alpha\!=h^i_\alpha(\mathbf{R})(P_i + \frac{\hbar}{4}\varepsilon_{\varrho\beta\gamma}\Gamma_i^{\varrho\beta}\sigma^\gamma)$ with h^i_α the static orthonormal dreibein $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$, $\Gamma_i^{\alpha\beta}$ the spin connection components and $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\sigma^\gamma = \frac{i}{8}(\gamma^\alpha\gamma^\beta - \gamma^\beta\gamma^\alpha)$. The coordinate operator is again given by $\mathbf{R} = i\hbar\partial_{\mathbf{p}}$. Note that here we consider the general case where an arbitrary static torsion of space is allowed. It is known [22] that for a static gravitational field (which is the case considered here), the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is Hermitian. We now want to diagonalize $\hat{H}$ through a unitary transformation $U(\tilde{\mathbf{P}})$. Because the components of $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ depend both on operators $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ the diagonalization at order $\hbar$ is performed by adapting the method detailed above to block-diagonal Hamiltonians. To do so, we first write $\hat{H}$ in a symmetrical way in $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ at first order in $\hbar$. This is easily achieved using the Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian which yields

$$\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{g_{00}}\alpha.\tilde{\mathbf{P}}+\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{+}.\alpha\sqrt{g_{00}}\right)+\frac{\hbar}{4}\varepsilon_{\varrho\beta\gamma}\Gamma_{0}^{\varrho\beta}\sigma^{\gamma}. \tag{22}$$

Using the general expression Eq. (11) we arrive at the following expression for the diagonal positive (we have projected on the positive energy subspace) energy representation $\tilde{\varepsilon}$:

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon+\frac{\lambda}{4}\frac{\mathbf{p}.\mathbf{\Gamma}_{0}}{p}+\frac{\hbar\mathbf{B}.\sigma}{2\varepsilon}-\frac{(\mathbf{A}_{R}\times\mathbf{p}).\mathbf{B}}{\varepsilon^{(r)}}. \tag{23}$$

where we have introduced a field $B_{\gamma}=-\frac{1}{2}P_{\delta}T^{\alpha\beta\delta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ with $T^{\alpha\beta\delta}=h_{k}^{\delta}\left(h^{l\alpha}\partial_{l}h^{k\beta}-h^{l\beta}\partial_{l}h^{k\alpha}\right)+h^{l\alpha}\Gamma_{l}^{\beta\delta}-h^{l\beta}\Gamma_{l}^{\alpha\delta}$ the usual torsion for a static metric (where only space indices in the summations give non zero contributions). We have also defined in Eq. (23)

$$\varepsilon=c\sqrt{\left(p_{i}+\frac{\lambda}{4}\frac{\Gamma_{i}(\mathbf{r}).\mathbf{p}}{p}\right)g^{ij}g_{00}\left(p_{j}+\frac{\lambda}{4}\frac{\Gamma_{j}(\mathbf{r}).\mathbf{p}}{p}\right)}, \tag{24}$$

with the γ-th component of the vector $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{i}$ as $\Gamma_{i,\gamma}=\varepsilon_{\varrho\beta\gamma}\Gamma_{i}^{\varrho\beta}(\mathbf{r})$ and the helicity $\lambda=\frac{\hbar\mathbf{p}.\sigma}{p}$. Note that the dynamical operators are now

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r} &= \mathbf{R}+\hbar c^{2}\frac{\mathbf{P}\times\mathbf{\Sigma}}{2\varepsilon^{2}} && (25)\\ \mathbf{p} &= \mathbf{P}-\hbar c^{2}(\frac{\mathbf{P}\times\mathbf{\Sigma}}{2\varepsilon^{2}})\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\tilde{\mathbf{P}} && (26)\end{aligned}$$

Interestingly, this semi-classical Hamiltonian presents formally the same form as the one of a Dirac particle in a true external magnetic field [8][23]. The term $\mathbf{B}.\sigma$ is responsible for the Stern-Gerlach effect, and the operator $\mathbf{L}=(\mathbf{A}_{R}\times\mathbf{p})$ is the intrinsic angular momentum of semiclassical particles. The same contribution appears also in the context of the semiclassical behavior of Bloch electrons (spinless) in an external magnetic field [6][5] where it corresponds to a magnetization term. Because of this analogy and since $T^{\alpha\beta\delta}$ is directly related to the torsion of space through $T^{\alpha\beta\delta}=h_{k}^{\delta}h^{i\alpha}h^{j\beta}T_{ij}^{k}$ we call $\mathbf{B}$ a magnetotorsion field.

However, this form for the energy presents the default to involve the spin rather than the helicity. Actually one can use the property $\lambda\mathbf{p}/2p=\hbar\sigma/2-(\mathbf{A}_{R}\times\mathbf{p})$ to rewrite the energy as

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon}\simeq\varepsilon+\frac{\lambda}{4}\frac{\mathbf{p}.\mathbf{\Gamma}_{0}}{p}+\frac{\lambda g_{00}}{2\varepsilon}\frac{\mathbf{B}.\mathbf{p}}{p} \tag{27}$$

The semi-classical Hamiltonian Eq. (27) contains, in addition to the energy term ε, new contributions due to the Berry connections. Indeed, Eq. (27) shows that the helicity couples to the gravitational field through the magnetotorsion field $\mathbf{B}$ which is non-zero for a space with torsion. As a consequence, a hypothetical torsion of space may be revealed through the presence of this coupling. Note that, in agrement with [25], this Hamiltonian does not contain the spin-gravity coupling term $\mathbf{\Sigma}.\nabla g_{00}$ predicted in [24].

From Eqs. (25) and (26) we deduce the new (non-canonical) commutations rules

$$\begin{aligned}\left[r^{i},r^{j}\right] &= i\hbar\Theta_{rr}^{ij}\\ \left[p^{i},p^{j}\right] &= i\hbar\Theta_{pp}^{ij}\\ \left[p^{i},r^{j}\right] &= -i\hbar g^{ij}+i\hbar\Theta_{pr}^{ij}\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $\Theta_{\zeta\eta}^{ij}=\partial_{\zeta^{i}}A_{\eta^{j}}-\partial_{\eta^{i}}A_{\zeta^{j}}+[A_{\zeta^{i}},A_{\eta^{j}}]$ where ζ,η.mean either r or p. An explicit computation shows that at leading order

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{rr}^{ij} &= -\hbar c^{4}\frac{(\mathbf{\Sigma}.\mathbf{p})\,p_{\gamma}}{2\varepsilon^{4}}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}h_{\alpha}^{i}h_{\beta}^{j}\\ \Theta_{pp}^{ij} &= -\hbar c^{4}\frac{(\mathbf{\Sigma}.\mathbf{p})\,p_{\gamma}}{2\varepsilon^{4}}\nabla_{r_{i}}p_{\alpha}\nabla_{r_{j}}p_{\beta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\\ \Theta_{pr}^{ij} &= \hbar c^{4}\frac{(\mathbf{\Sigma}.\mathbf{p})\,p_{\gamma}}{2\varepsilon^{4}}\nabla_{r_{i}}p_{\alpha}h_{\beta}^{j}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

From the additional commutation relations between the helicity and the dynamical operators $[r_i, \lambda] = [p_i, \lambda] = 0$ we deduce the semiclassical equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{r}} &= (1-\Theta_{pr})\,\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}\tilde{\varepsilon} + \dot{\mathbf{p}}\times\Theta_{rr} \\ \dot{\mathbf{p}} &= -(1-\Theta_{pr})\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\tilde{\varepsilon} + \dot{\mathbf{r}}\times\Theta_{pp}\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

To complete the dynamical description of the photon notice that at the leading order the helicity λ is not changed by the unitary transformation which diagonalizes the Hamiltonian so that it can be written $\lambda = \hbar\mathbf{p}.\mathbf{\Sigma}/p$. After a short computation one can check that the helicity is always conserved

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\hbar\mathbf{p}.\mathbf{\Sigma}}{p}\right) = 0 \tag{31}$$

for an arbitrary static gravitational field independently of the existence of a torsion of space.

Eqs. (30) are the new semiclassical equations of motion for a photon in a static gravitational field. They describe the ray trajectory of light in the first approximation of geometrical optics (GO). (In GO it is common to work with dimensionless momentum operator $\mathbf{p} = k_0^{-1}\mathbf{k}$ with $k_0 = \omega/c$ instead of the momentum [16]). For zero Berry curvatures we obtain the well known zero order approximation of GO and photons follow the null geodesic. The velocity equation contains the by now well known anomalous contribution $\dot{\mathbf{p}}\times\Theta^{rr}$ which is at the origin of the intrinsic spin Hall effect (or Magnus effect) of the photon in an isotropic inhomogeneous medium of refractive index $n(r)$ [4][16][17][26]. Indeed, this term causes an additional displacement of photons of distinct helicity in opposite directions orthogonally to the ray. Consequently, we predict gravitational birefringence since photons with distinct helicities follow different geodesics. In comparison to the usual velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}\tilde{\varepsilon} \sim c$, the anomalous velocity term $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ is obviously small, its order $v_{\perp}^{i} \sim c\widetilde{\lambda}\nabla_{r^j} g^{ij}$ being proportional to the wave length $\widetilde{\lambda}$.

The momentum equation presents the dual expression $\dot{\mathbf{r}}\times\Theta_{pp}$ of the anomalous velocity which is a kind of Lorentz force which being of order $\hbar$ does not influence the velocity equation at order $\hbar$. Note that similar equations of motion with dual contributions $\dot{\mathbf{p}}\times\Theta_{rr}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{r}}\times\Theta_{pp}$ were predicted for the semiclassical dynamics of spinless electrons in crystals subject to small perturbations [5][6].

CONCLUSION

Some recent applications of semiclassical methods to several branches of Physics, such as spintronics or solid state physics have shown the relevance of Berry Phases contributions to the dynamics of a system. However, these progresses called for a rigorous Hamiltonian treatment that would allow for deriving naturally the role of the Berry phase. In this paper we have considered a diagonalization method for a broad class of quantum systems, including the electron in a periodic potential and the Dirac Hamiltonian in a gravitational field. Doing so, we have exhibited a general pattern for this class of systems implying the role of the Berry phases both for the position and the momentum. In such a context, the coordinates and momenta algebra are no longer commutative, and the dynamical equations for these variables directly include the influence of Berry phases through the parameters of noncommutativity (Berry curvatures) and through an abstract magnetization term.

Acknowledgment. It is a great pleasure for us to thank Prof. S. Ghosh for collaborations and for having given to H. M. the opportunity to give a talk at the Kolkata conference on recent developments in theoretical physics.

---

[1] M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. A **392** (1984) 45.
[2] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, S. C. Zhang, Science **301** (2003) 1348
[3] P. Gosselin, A. Bérard, H. Mohrbach, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 084035.
[4] A. Bérard, H. Mohrbach, Phys. lett. A **352** (2006) 190.
[5] M. C. Chang, Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett **75** (1995) 1348; Phys. Rev. B **53** (1996) 7010; G. Sundaram, Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B **59** (1999) 14915.
[6] P. Gosselin, F. Ménas, A. Bérard, H. Mohrbach, Europhys. Lett. **76** (2006) 651.
[7] P. Gosselin, A. Bérard, H. Mohrbach, S. Ghosh, Phys. Lett. B **660** (2008) 267.
[8] P. Gosselin, A. Bérard and H. Mohrbach, Eur. Phys. J. B **58**, 137 (2007).
[9] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, Phys. Rev. **78** (1950) 29.

[10] E. Eriksen, Phys. Rev. **111**, 1011 (1958).
[11] A. G. Nikitin, J. Phys. A **31**, 3297 (1998).
[12] A. J. Silenko, J. Math. Phys.**44**, 2952 (2003).
[13] P. Gosselin, J. Hanssen and H. Mohrbach, Phys. Rev. D. in press, arXiv:cond-mat/0611628.
[14] A. J. Silenko, arXiv: math-ph: 0710.4218.
[15] P. Gosselin, A. Bérard, H. Mohrbach, Phys. Lett. A **368** (2007) 356
[16] K. Y. Bliokh, Y. P. Bliokh, Phys. Lett A **333** (2004), 181; Phys. Rev. E **70** (2004) 026605; Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 073903.
[17] M. Onoda, S. Murakami, N. Nagasoa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 083901.
[18] Z. Fang et al., Science **302**, 92 (2003).
[19] A. Bérard, H. Mohrbach, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 127701
[20] C. Kittel, Quantum Therory of Solids, Wiley, New York,1963..
[21] E. M. Lifshitz, L. P. Pitaevskii, Statistical Physics, vol 9, Pergamon Press, 1981.
[22] M. Leclerc, Class.Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) 4013.
[23] K. Y. Bliokh, Eur. Lett. **72** (2005) 7.
[24] Y. N. Obukhov, Phys. Rev. Lett **86** (2001) 192.
[25] A. J. Silenko, O. V. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 064016.
[26] C. Duval, Z. Horvath, P. Horvathy, L. Martina, P. Stichel, Phys. Rev. Lett **96** (2006) 099701; D. Xiao, J. Shi, Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 099702.